The uptake of silver(I) from chloride solutions by amine extractants

Katarzyna Wejman^{1,*}, and Magdalena Pilśniak-Rabiega¹

¹Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Faculty of Chemistry, Division of Analytical Chemistry and Chemical Metallurgy, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland

Abstract. The amine extractants, bis(2-ethylhexyl)amine, N,N-dimethylethanolamine, and trioctylamine were used to recover silver(I) ions from chloride solutions. The effect of the pH, contact time, extractant concentration and reextraction were studied. It was found that extraction of silver(I) depended on the pH, extractant concentration and strongly on the contact time. Reextraction of Ag(I) ions from the loaded organic phase showed that the metal can be removed in over 50% for the three extractant using sodium hydroxide. The recovery of silver from the chloride leaching solutions were above 85% for bis(2-ethylhexyl)amine, above 58% for N,N-dimethylethanolamine, and above 70% for trioctylamine.

1 Introduction

Use Sulphurous copper ores have polymetallic character, which means that they contain a series of other chemical elements and some of them may be significant for reclamation process. In practice, there are none other recognised copper ore deposits in the world which contains so many supporting metals than polymetallic and polymineral copper ore deposits in Legnicko - Głogowski Copper Zone. The existence of supporting elements has a big influence on technological process; it could be the reason of serious disturbances as well as the source of additional profit. The supporting elements, which concentrate in different production streams, constitute useful ingredients, such as silver, nickel, cobalt, gold, platinum, or undesirable pollutants whitch have to be removed from processing systems (Pb, As, Cd, Hg, F, Sb) [1, 2].

According to various sources, the demand for silver is still increasing – it is mainly used in the production of kitchen vessels, photographic industry, production of electronic, electric and cooling devices, as well as manufacturing jewellery and coins. When there are exploited, the majority of those products may become the potential raw material for the silver reclamation. It is now being estimated that about a half of worldwide production of silver is a result of the reclamation of its various raw materials and wastes, which is a phenomenon of growing tendency [3-5].

The polish national leader of silver manufacture is Lower Silesia's KGHM Poland, which is now collecting silver using pyrometallurgical techniques. However, the diversity, dynamically changing characteristic and type of raw materials, which contain a great part of valuable metals resources, led to the growing interest in hydrometallurgical methods. Those methods are more flexible concerning scale and technical capabilities; moreover they guarantee at the same time a big changeability of the material and a high effectiveness of the processes. Taking silver raw materials into consideration, it is possible to treat them in an effective way using hydrometallurgical methods [2]. The basic process is leaching a solid chase that contains silver mostly in cyanide solutions, as well as chloride, thiosulfate, thiourea, ammonia, or by using concentrated sulphuric acid in increased temperature (ca. 200 °C) [6, 7]. Even though in this case it is cyanide leaching that is most commonly used, chloride leaching is much more beneficial after all, because it is less toxic and the process itself is more efficient and less time – consuming, while technological costs remain significantly lower [6].

Selective and effective extraction of the metal from the solutions after leaching is mostly achieved with solvent extraction, or polymeric resin sorption [8, 9]. They may be used in hydrometallurgical methods of metals recovery, which allows to concentrate and extract metals from the solutions that contains only trace amounts of a particular metal (even to the concentration of a few ppm), sometimes directly in the production plants where e. g. waste solutions are produced. In comparison with pyro-metallurgical methods, hydrometallurgical ones do not require high temperatures and do not produce harmful gases (carbon monoxide), particulates (lead and arsenic), while investment costs are significantly low. Moreover, solvent extraction process is highly selective and effective; it is also not time - consuming and the apparatus used is not complicated.

Solvent extraction, also called liquid-liquid extraction is one of the most important, economical and practical process in hydrometallurgical industry for separation and purification of several metals - nonferrous, rare earths, the platinum group metals (PGMs). This method have

© The Authors, published by EDP Sciences. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author: katarzyna.wejman@pwr.edu.pl

a number of advantages like: high selectivity and metal purity [10-12]. Despite widespread use in industrial hydrometallurgical processes, phosphorus-containing compounds are considered less environmentally friendly than extractants which have hetero atoms such as oxygen, nitrogen or sulphur in their structures [13]. For this reason, this study tested the extraction of Ag(I) chloride solutions with amines.

Extracting silver in solvent extraction processes was widely examined and described in scientific literature. However, the usage of solvent extrication for recovering silver from solutions after chloride leaching, where the feed was a remain from atmospheric leaching of the concentrate from ZWR Lublin, was previously described in a scientific work only with the usage of three amines [14]. In order to broaden the perspective of the process of recovering silver from chloride solutions containing over 4 M chloride ions, the below examination was presented. The objective of this study was to examine the process of silver(I) ions extraction from chloride solutions. Effect of the initial pH, contact time, extractant concentration and stripping on silver(I) recovery was investigated. Additionally, the process of silver(I) ions extraction was tested from chloride pregnant leach solutions (PLS), coming from an atmosphere leaching of the copper flotation concentrate from Lubin Concentrator (KGHM Polska Miedź S.A.).

2 Experimental

2.1 Reagents

Amine extractants were used as organic solvents without further purification, detailed information was included in Table 1. Ksylene (Avantor) were the diluent.

Amine	Sign	Concentrati on [%]	Producer	
N,N- Dimethyletha nolamine	DMEA	99.5	Sigma- Aldrich	
Bis(2- ethylhexyl)a mine	BEHA	99.0	Sigma- Aldrich	
Trioctylamine	TOA	98.0	Sigma- Aldrich	

Table 1. Characteristics of the amine extractants.

2.2 General extraction procedure

Synthetic solutions of silver(I) ions were prepared by dissolving a known amount of silver nitrate (AgNO3) in distilled water with hydrochloric acid and sodium chloride (3.7 g/L of HCl and 234 g/L of NaCl). The initial Ag(I) ion concentration for synthetic solutions was 50 mg/L. Chloride leach solutions were generated in atmosphere chloride leaching of a solid residue after sulphate leaching of the commercial flotation sulphide concentrate produced at the Lubin Concentrator (KGHM Polska Miedź S.A.). Solutions after chloride leaching

were contained silver(I) and other metals, such as Cu(II), Pb(II), Fe(III), Zn(II), Co(II), Ni(II). The chemical composition of "Lubin" solutions after chloride leaching was presented in Table 2. The organic phase was contained one of three extractants and ksylene as a diluent. Different solutions were used as stripping agent: NaOH, NaNO3, NH4NO3 and NH3•H2O. Aqueous and organic phases were shaken at 325 rpm and ambient temperature $(23 \pm 1^{\circ}C)$ using a mechanical shaker type ELPAN 357. The concentration of Ag(I) ion in the aqueous phase before and after the extraction and the reextraction was determined by means of flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) using a Varian SpectrAA 20 Plus instrument.diluent.

Dout	Metal concentration [mg/L]								
Part	Ag(I)	Pb(II)	Cu(II)	Zn(II)	Ni(II)	Co(II)	Fe(III)		
a	44.8	197.9	25.8	30.6	25.8	26.4	-		
b	46.2	501.2	364.2	12.4	15.3	23.7	501.2		

Table 2. Composition of solutions after chloride leaching.

3 Results and discussions

3.1 The effect of the initial pH

It was expected that the efficiency of the extraction process of Ag by amine extractants, bis(2ethylhexyl)amine (BEHA), N,N-dimethylethanolamine (DMEA), and trioctylamine (TOA) should mainly depend on the metal-extractant complex formation and the concentration of the extractable Ag(I) species (closely related to pH of the aqueous phase). Additionally, it was presumed that in case of the amine extractant use in this experiment nitrogen could positively influence on extraction of Ag(I), according to theory hard and soft acids and bases (HSAB) [15]. In these conditions, it could enable to coordinate Ag species via the anion exchange mechanism. Therefore, the effect of the initial pH on the extraction of Ag(I) was examined. As shown in Fig. 1, the extraction of Ag(I), regardless of the extractant used, highly depends on the acidity of the aqueous phase. The extraction efficiency at pH ~1.0 yielded values of 76, 80 and 87% for TOA, DMEA, and BEHA, respectively. A decrease in the solution acidity resulted in a reduction of the extraction efficiency for all studied extractants, reaching the level below 30% at pH values above 6. This pointed a high effectivity of examined amine (TOA). Furthermore, the separation of phases was very fast (< 15 s), and no emulsion formation was noticed.



Fig. 1. Influence of initial pH on silver(I) extraction using BEHA, DMEA and TOA as extractants from chloride solution ([extractant] = 10% (v/v), [time] = 60 s).

3.2 Effect of contact time on silver(I) extraction

The effect of contact time on silver uptake is presented in Fig. 2, where the extraction efficiency of silver(I) was plotted vs. time. The process was carried out at ambient temperature and the contact time was varied in the range of 5 - 120 minutes. The concentration of the extractants (BEHA, DMEA, TOA) was equal to 10% (v/v). Phase ratio A/O (aqueous/organic) equal to 1. For two extractants (BEHA, DMEA), results show that the silver(I) ions were removed after 60 min of the shaking (Fig. 2). The extraction efficiency equals 89 and 82% for BEHA and DMEA, respectively. Results for TOA show that the majority of Ag(I) ions (77%) was removed after a 30 min of shaking. A further increase of the extraction time up to 60 min was found to slightly increase the extraction efficiency. Therefore, contact time of 60 min was recommended as the time, which enables to achieve of an equilibrium of the silver extraction for all extractants. emulsion formation was noticed.



Fig. 2. Effect of contact time on silver(I) extraction using BEHA, DMEA and TOA as extractants from chloride solution ([extractant] = 10% (v/v), [time] = 5 - 120 min.).

3.3 Effect of extractant concentration

To study the effect of extractant concentration the content of BEHA, DMEA and TOA in organic phase was varied in the range of 2.5 - 20% (v/v) (Table 3 and Fig. 3). Aqueous (A) and organic phases (O) were contacted for

60 minutes at phase ratio A/O equal to 1. Extraction was performed at ambient temperature. It was observed that extraction efficiency increases with increase in extractant concentration. The maximum extraction efficiency of silver(I) (89.3, 87.6 and 75.5%, respectively) was obtained at the highest concentration of extractant (BEHA, DMEA and TOA, respectively), 20% (v/v). Additionally, Table 3 presents the effect of extractant concentration on the distribution coefficient (O/A) on the studied extractants. It appears that extraction of Ag for all extractants increases with increasing extractant concentration, same as the extraction efficiency. This result can be explained considering increasing of number of extractant molecules which leads to increasing the uptake. Applying too high concentrations of extractant in organic phase while silver concentrations in solutions are low may be unprofitable. Therefore, it was assumed that optimal extractant concentration in described experiments would be 10% (v/v).

 Table 3. Silver(I) extraction using amine extractants (BEHA, DMEA and TOA) from chloride solutions.

Extractant	DMEA		BEHA		ТОА	
concentration [% (v/v)]	E [%]	D	E [%]	D	E [%]	D
2.5	7.5	0.1	4.3	0.05	3.6	0.04
5	21.1	0.3	54.2	1.2	10.1	0.1
7.5	68.1	2.1	82.2	4.6	45.1	0.8
10	80.5	4.1	87.2	6,8	75.4	3.1
15	85.5	5.9	87.9	7.2	75.4	3.1
20	87.6	7.1	89.3	8.3	75.5	3.1



Fig. 3. Effect of extractant concentration on silver(I) extraction using BEHA, DMEA and TOA as extractants from chloride solution ([extractant] = 2.5 - 20 % (v/v), [time] = 60 min).

3.4 Reextraction

Stripping test was performed using freshly loaded and pre-filtered organic phases containing 77.4 - 89.3 mg/L of Ag(I) ions and 1.0 M of stripping agents (NH₃•H₂O, NaOH, NH₄NO₃, and NaNO₃) in the aqueous phase. Stripping of loaded organic was carried out at one to one volume ratios of loaded organic and stripping solutions. After the 60 min contact, the phases were allowed to disengage. The aqueous phases were separated and analysed. The results presented in Fig. 4 show that for all tested extractants were obtained low reextraction efficiency of Ag(I) using as a stripping agents: $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$, NH_4NO_3 , and $NaNO_3$. Yield of the reextraction process was not exceeded 23%. The best stripping of Ag(I) ions from loaded organic phase in the case of all extractants (DMEA, BEHA, and TOA) was observed by using of 1.0 M NaOH solution and reextraction efficiency was consecutively 54, 57 and 77%, respectively.



Fig. 4. Reextraction of silver(I) for amine extractants: BEHA, DMEA, and TOA ([extractant] = 10 % (v/v), [time] = 60 min).

Subsequently, the three stripping agents: NH3•H2O, NH4NO3, and NaNO3, were discarded and the effect of sodium hydroxide concentration on silver(I) reextraction was investigated (Table 4). Studies were conducted under the same conditions for the range of NaOH concentration from 0.25 to 2.0 M. In case of the organic phase containing the loaded trioctylamine (TOA), the stripping of Ag(I) from the organic phase was most efficient. The use of 1.0 M NaOH as stripping agent resulted in a 77.5% recovery of Ag(I) in one-stage process, while using a 2.0 M NaOH solution, the stripping of Ag(I) was until 78.7%. Additionally, was used a concentration of NaOH equal to 1 it is sufficient, and further increasing the concentration was not appreciably increase the recovery of silver. The maximum extraction efficiency of silver(I) (64.6 and 67.1 %) was obtained at the highest concentration of NaOH solutions for DMEA and BEHA (2M). For these extractants (DMEA and BEHA), the efficiency of the process varies considerably with the increase in concentration of NaOH solution.

Table 4. Effect of NaOH solution concentration on silver(I)

 reextraction using BEHA, DMEA and TOA as extractants.

Concentration	Reextraction [%]						
of NaOH [M]	DMEA	ВЕНА	ТОА				
0.25	16.1	28.0	36.8				
0.50	44.1	54.9	71.0				
1.0	53.6	57.1	77.5				
1.5	56.3	59.6	77.9				
2.0	64.6	67.1	78.7				

3.5 Extraction of silver(I) from multicomponent solutions after chloride leaching

Silver(I) ions were also extracted from two chloride leaching solutions containing lead, copper, cobalt, nickel and zinc (composition in Table 2). Metals extraction were determined with an organic solution consisting of 10% each extractants (BEHA, DMEA, TOA) and aqueous phase at an A/O ratio of 1:1 and ambient temperature. During extraction, no third phase formation was observed. Extraction efficiency of particular metals and separation factors for silver(I) were showed in Table 5 (a,b). It can be seen that the metal extraction order was Ag>Ni>Pb>Fe>Zn>Cu>Co for DMEA, Ag>>Fe>Cu>Zn>Pb>Ni>Co for BEHA and Ag>>Cu>Zn>Fe>Co>Pb>Ni for TOA (for solutions after chloride leaching: a - without Fe, b - with Fe). The highest co-extraction of metals was observed for extractants DMEA and TOA, where separation factors (S) were significantly lower than for BEHA. The maximum extraction efficiency of silver(I) was obtained for BEHA and extraction efficiency was equal to 85.2% (a) and 86.6% (b). Additionaly, in relation to other metals the most selectivity extractant for silver(I) was also extratant BEHA and co-extraction of metals was not exceed 8.0% in case of iron-free solution. In the case of second solution (b) was show considerable co-extraction of iron(III) from solution (22.1%), which is confirmed by a lower partition coefficient in comparison to the others metals.

Table 5. Silver(I) extraction using amine extractants (BEHA, DMEA, TOA) from multicomponent solutions after atmosphere chloride leaching (*a* - containing metal such as Ag(I), Pb(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Ni(II), Co(II), *b* - containing metal such as Ag(I), Pb(II), Cu(II), Cu(II), Zn(II), Ni(II), Co(II), Fe(III).

<i>a</i> .							
	Cintial	DMEA		BEHA		ТОА	
Metal	[mg/L]	E [%]	S Ag/Me	E [%]	S Ag/Me	E [%]	S Ag/Me
Ag(I)	69.0	58.3	-	85.2	-	70.7	-
Pb(II)	501.2	32.8	2.9	4.1	134.2	30.0	5.6
Cu(II)	4507	17.1	6.8	7.8	67.9	66.5	1.2
Zn(II)	175.4	19.6	5.7	7.1	75.6	42.0	3.3
Ni(II)	14.9	45.6	1.7	0.9	610.2	14.0	14.8
Co(II)	17.9	1.9	72.2	0.5	8.3	40.9	3.5

<i>b</i> .							
	Cintial	DMEA		BEHA		ТОА	
Metal	[mg/L]	E [%]	S Ag/Me	E [%]	S Ag/Me	E [%]	S Ag/Me
Ag(I)	46.2	58.4	-	86.6	-	74.0	-
Pb(II)	501.2	19.6	5.8	1.4	462.5	10.0	25.5
Cu(II)	364.2	3.3	41.4	9.3	62.8	45.3	3.4
Zn(II)	12.4	14.5	8.3	3.1	198.9	30.5	6.5
Ni(II)	15.3	49.7	1.4	0.2	3190	3.3	84.3
Co(II)	23.7	0.7	213.3	0.1	6975	21.6	10.3
Fe(III)	501.2	18.9	27.7	22.1	22.7	30.0	6.6

4 Conclusions

BEHA, DMEA and TOA were used for the solvent extraction of silver(I) ions from synthetic chloride solutions, and tested from chloride pregnant leach solutions (PLS), coming from a atmosphere leaching of the copper flotation concentrate from Lubin Concentrator (KGHM Polska Miedź S.A.) The experimental results show that Ag(I) can be efficient extracted from solutions using amine extractant. The best results were obtained using bis(2-ethylhexyl)amine as extractant using xylene as diluent. Additionally, extraction of silver(I) was efficient until the 60 minutes contact time, process significantly depends on inital pH for all extractants (BEHA, DMEA and TOA) in the aqueous phase. The most effective results were obtained for initial pH ~1.0 in the aqueous phase. Extraction process from chloride leaching solution was showed a large Ag(I) ions recovery for all using extractants, and also co-extraction of other ions (Cu(II), Pb(II), Zn(II), Ni(II), Co(II), Fe(III)) in small degree. The most selective extractant from chloride leaching solutions proved to be ekstractant BEHA, a high silver recovery rate of over 85%. The stripping of Ag(I) ions from loaded organic phase in the case of BEHA, DMEA and TOA was the most efficient using NaOH. The maximum extraction efficiency of silver(I) (64.6 and 67.1 and 78.7%) was obtained at the highest concentration of NaOH solutions equal to 2M for extractants DMEA, BEHA and TOA.

References

- A. Piestrzynski, *Monography of KGHM Polska Miedź S.A.*, A. Piestrzynski (Ed.), (CPBM Cuprum Sp. z o.o. Publisher, Lubin, 201-237, in Polish, 2007)
- T. Chmielewski, Sep. Sci. Technol. Separation Science and Technology 47(9) 1264-1277 (2012)
- 3. S. Syed, Waste Management 50, 234-256 (2016)
- N. Sathaiyan, P. Adaikkalam, J.A.M.A. Kader, S. Visvanathan, *Encyclopedia of Materials: Science* and Technology (Second Edition, 8621-8623, 2001)
- 5. A.G. Chmielewski, T.S. Urbanski, W. Migdal, Hydrometallurgy **45**(3) 333-344 (1997)
- M.F. Almeida, M.A. Amarante, Miner. Eng. 8, 257-271 (1995)
- R. Briones, G.T. Lapidus, Hydrometallurgy 50, 243-260 (1998)
- 8. A.M. Shafiqul, K. Inoue, K. Yoshizuka, Y. Dong, P. Zhang, Hydrometallurgy **44**, 245-254 (1997)
- 9. Y. Abe, D.S. Flett,, Proc. Metall. 7, 1127-1132 (1992)
- A. Cieszynska, M. Wisniewski, Sep. Purif. Technol. 80, 385-389 (2011)
- 11. A.P. Paiva, Sep. Sci. Technol. 28(4) 947 (1993)
- 12. A.P. Paiva, Solv. Extr. Ion Exch. 18(2) 223-271, (2000)
- 13 A.P. Paiva, Solvent Extr. 21st Century, Proc. ISEC '99, Society of Chemical Industry, 399-404, (2001)
- K. Wejman-Gibas, M. Pilśniak-Rabiega, E3S Web of Conferences 8, 01016, DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/20160801016. (2016)
- 15. R.G. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 85, 3533 (1963)