The renewability indicator and cumulative degree of perfection for gamboeng tea; part.1, exergy calculation of fresh tea leaf

Teuku Beuna Bardant¹, *Muthia* Syafika Haq², *Arief* Ameir Rahman Setiawan¹, *Sugeng* Harianto², *Joko* Waluyo¹, *Adhi* Irianto Mastur², *Annisa* Dieni Lestari¹, *Sujarwo¹*, *Anny* Sulaswatty¹, and *Edi* Iswanto Wiloso¹

¹Research Center for Chemistry LIPI, Kawasan PUSPIPTEK Serpong Tangerang Banten Indonesia
²Research Institute for Tea and Cinchona, Desa Mekarsari, Pasir Jambu Kabupaten Bandung, Indonesia

Abstract. Renewability Indicator (RI) and Cumulative Degree of Perfection (CDP) are employed as tools to assess sustainability of Gamboeng Tea. The assessment then compared with black tea process in Black Sea Region in Turkey from the previous study. Exergy analysis for Gamboeng tea was decribed in two part of articles. This first part was desribing the energy and exergy calculation for producing fresh tea leaf in Gamboeng tea plantation. The energy and exergy utilization for black sea fresh tea leaves was calculated to be 807.83 MJ/t of fresh leaf, 273.43MJ/t of fresh leaf, respectively. For the Gamboeng green tea, the energy and exergy utilization were 1496.38 MJ/t of fresh leaf and 821.86 MJ/t of fresh leaf, respectively.The second part desribed cooperation of wood pellet with ambient-adapting controller uin tea factorywas a contribution from Gamboeng Tea for achieving Sustainable Development Goal which is affordable and clean energy and also an applicable climate action.

1 Introduction

The necessity of producing food in both effectively and efficiently will become even more profound because the continuation of unsustainable processing practices will contribute to the irreversible depletion of the Earth's natural resources. Several methodologies have been proposed in order to assess and improve the sustainability of various processes and products such as mass flow analysis (MFA; also known as material throughput analysis), energy analysis (EA), life cycle assessment (LCA), cradle-to-cradle design (C2C), and pinch analysis among others [1-5].

Energy is the most common term used by the food industry to understand process and system performance or efficiency. In transformation from one energy form to another, part of its initial quality is destroyed (irreversibly lost), leading to a lower, degraded quality [6].

^{*}Corresponding author: <u>qaismajnun@gmail.com</u>

The concept of energy quality has been described by Van Gool as the possibility of energy exchange between a donating and an accepting stream [7]. Cornelissen defined this possibility as the "maximum work potential of a material or of a form of energy in relation to its environment," and also known as available work or exergy, a term which was originally introduced by Rant [8, 9].

Now, consensus among many authors from different scientific fields develops exergy analysis (ExA) as an objective methodology for assessing the efficiency and hence sustainability of processes and systems. ExA was prefered because it is based on the first and the second law of thermodynamics, considering both the quantity and the quality of material and energy streams simultaneously without having to resort to subjective weighing factors [6, 10-18]. The advantages of using ExA over other assessment methods have been discussed in detail by various authors, e.g. Gong and Wall and Dincer and Rosen [18,19]. The basic principles, the general definitions, and the differences between energy and exergy have been discussed [20, 21].

Most food process-related publications using ExA focus on drying technologies (66%), followed by food chains having wider boundaries (10%) and heating/pasteurization processes (6%) [22]. Conducting ExA for analyzing drying performance firstly introduced in Indonesia was for analyzing application of solar thermal storage [23]. Tea is the second most consumed non-alcoholic drink, after water, in the world [24,25] which the main process was drying. In this present study, Gamboeng green tea will be evaluated by using Exergy analysis (ExA) and the results will be presented as Cumulative Degree of Perfection (CDP) and the renewability indicator (RI). The cumulative degree of perfection (CDP) is defined as the ratio of the exergy of the products to the sum of the exergies of the input materials and non-renewable fuels [26]. According to Shao and Chen, renewability assessment has two implications: calculation input/output ratio of a specific renewable resource based on benefit analysis or to investigate the sustainability of a concerned system by identifying the renewable resources component from its total historical resources [27].

2 Methodology

To compare the results of analysis, the functional unit for Gamboeng green tea and Black Sea black tea, the functional units was one ton of products. Information about transportation requirement for Gamboeng tea were collected by direct observation and measurement from tea plantation and tea factory belong to Research Institute for Tea and Cinchona in Desa Mekarsari Kecamatan Pasir jambu Kabupaten Bandung. The tea factory was operated in batch for 14 - 20 hour per batch operated by 46 employees. The average distances that the tea was transported were reported as 50 km between fields and factory 30 km from factory to the consumer. The trucks are considered to be making two-way trip, since they are empty on the way back.

Energy utilization by equipment of each green tea production steps are also obtained from interviewed with the tea factory manager. Calculations are based on theoretical considerations by using Gamboeng environmental climatology data as basic of the calculation. The ambient air condition as basic for calculation were the average measured value from 15 September 2018 to 15 October 2018 which were 65% relative humidity and 30.5 °C of air ambient temperature. The climate data was collected from Automatic Weather Station (AWS) that placed in Gamboneg. Moisture content of tea leaf along the process was determined based the weight loss at 103 °C referring to SNI 3945:2016. The data was collected between 8th of October to 12th of October 2018 and the mean value was used in the calculation. LPG consumption for calculation was obtained from the monthly record of the factory manager.

3 Results and discussion

ICSoLCA 2018

3.1 Green tea production process

First challenging step for conducting exergy assessment was calculating exergy of tea leaves. Estimation of the energy and exergy utilization and the carbon dioxide emission associated with the agriculture of tea leaves are given in Table.1. Calculation for Gamboeng green tea was using literature source that similar with those used by Pelvan and Ozilgen for Black sea tea except for energy associated nitrogen chemical fertilizer [29].

$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		black sea tea				Gamboeng tea														
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	parameters (unit)	data			ref	data			ref											
tea productivity (ton/Ha)4.101.2survey in this studyrequired fresh leaf for 1 ton of product (ton)3.88[29]3.32in this studyfertilizer utilization (kg/Ha)NPKNPKan energy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)78.217.513.8[32]40*25.208.28[31*,32]energy utilization assoctd2346437.54141,200352.899.4Calcula ted in this studyenergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)572.5106.7101[29]1,00029482.881.83.34]computing utilization assoctd with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)23.027.504.60[33,34]23.027.504.60[33,34]exergy utilization assoctd with chemical fertilizer operHa (Mj/Ha)23.027.504.60[33,34]23.027.504.60[33,34]exergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)690.8187.5138.690.810555.2Calcula ted in ted in ted in ted in ted in texregy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)248.02709.12Calcula ted in texregy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)2050studytotal exergy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (Mj/t)168.645.7633.7[29]57.687.546study0.287[29]0.2in this study50study10	general information																			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	tea productivity (ton/Ha)	4.10			50.03	1.2			survey											
of product (ton)3.883.32studyfertilizer utilization (kg/Ha)NPKNPKan energy utilization for chemical fertilizer applicationenergy utilization for chemical fertilizer application1412energy associated with chemical fertilizer operation assoctd78.217.513.8[32]40*25.208.28[31*,32]energy utilization assoctd2346437.54141,200352.899.4Calcula ted in this studyenergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)572.5106.7101[29]1,00029482.882.8Cumulative exergy Consumption (CExC) for chemical fertilizer application exergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)23.027.504.60[33,34]23.027.504.60[33,34]exergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perta (Mj/Ha)690.8187.5138.690.810555.2ted in thisfertilizer perta (Mj/Ha)690.8187.5138.690.810555.2ted in thisfertilizer perta (Mj/Ha)0.287[29]0.2in this studydiatance (km)02425.0studydiatance (km)02050studydiatance (km)02050studydiatance (km)2057.5[35]0.832[35]energy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]57.5[35]energy equivale	required fresh leaf for 1 ton	2.00			[29]	9]			in this											
fertilizer utilization (kg/Ha)NPKNPK3025301412energy utilization for chemical fertilizer applicationenergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)78.217.513.8[32]40*25.208.28[31*,32]energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)2346437.54141,200352.899.4Calcula ted in this studyenergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)572.5106.7101[29]1,00029482.8Studytotal energy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton)780.371376.781376.78this studyCumulative exergy Consumption (CExC) for chemical fertilizer application exergy associated with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)690.8187.5138.690.810555.2Calcula ted in this studyexergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)690.8187.5138.690.810555.2Calcula ted in this studyexergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHon fresh leaf (Mj/t)168.645.7633.7[29]575.687.546survey consumption (L/km)0.287[29]0.2in this studydistance (km)2050studydistance (km)2050studyenergy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]0.832[35]energy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]57.5	of product (ton)	3.88				3.32			study											
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	fertilizer utilization (kg/Ha)	N 20	P 25	K 20	-	N 20	P 14	K 12												
energy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)78.217.513.8[32]40*25.208.28[31*,32]energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)2346437.54141,200352.899.4Calcula ted in this studyenergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)572.5106.7101[29]1,00029482.882.8total energy utilization per 	energy	50 7 utilizati	on for ch	emical	fertilizer	annlicati	01 01	12												
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	energy associated with																			
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)	78.2	17.5	13.8	[32]	40*	25.20	8.28	[31*,32]											
energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)572.5106.7101[29]1,00029482.8this studytotal energy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton)780.371376.781376.781376.78Cumulative exergy Consumption (CExC) for chemical fertilizer application exergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)23.027.504.60[33,34]23.027.504.60[33,34]exergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)690.8187.5138.690.810555.2Calcula 	<td>energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)</td> <td>2346</td> <td>437.5</td> <td>414</td> <td>-</td> <td>1,200</td> <td>352.8</td> <td>99.4</td> <td rowspan="2">Calcula ted in this study</td>	energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)	2346	437.5	414	-	1,200	352.8	99.4	Calcula ted in this study	total energy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton)780.371376.78Cumulative exergy Consumption (CExC) for chemical fertilizer applicationexergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)23.027.504.60[33,34]exergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)23.027.504.60[33,34]exergy utilization associd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)168.645.7633.7[29]575.687.546general information of transportationshipment capacity (ton)104surveyconsumption (L/km)0.287[29]0.2general information of transportationshipment capacity (ton)104surveyconsumption (L/km)0.287[29]0.2500studydistance (km)20500studydistance (km)20 <td col<="" td=""><td>energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)</td><td>572.5</td><td>106.7</td><td>101</td><td>[29]</td><td>1,000</td><td>294</td><td>82.8</td></td>	<td>energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)</td> <td>572.5</td> <td>106.7</td> <td>101</td> <td>[29]</td> <td>1,000</td> <td>294</td> <td>82.8</td>	energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)	572.5	106.7	101	[29]	1,000	294	82.8
<td>energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)</td> <td>2346</td> <td>437.5</td> <td>414</td> <td>-</td> <td>1,200</td> <td>352.8</td> <td>99.4</td> <td rowspan="2">Calcula ted in this study</td>	energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)	2346	437.5	414	-	1,200	352.8	99.4	Calcula ted in this study											
total energy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton)780.371376.78Cumulative exergy Consumption (CExC) for chemical fertilizer applicationexergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)23.027.504.60[33,34]exergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)23.027.504.60[33,34]exergy utilization associd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)168.645.7633.7[29]575.687.546general information of transportationshipment capacity (ton)104surveyconsumption (L/km)0.287[29]0.2general information of transportationshipment capacity (ton)104surveyconsumption (L/km)0.287[29]0.2500studydistance (km)20500studydistance (km)20 <td col<="" td=""><td>energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)</td><td>572.5</td><td>106.7</td><td>101</td><td>[29]</td><td>1,000</td><td>294</td><td>82.8</td></td>	<td>energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)</td> <td>572.5</td> <td>106.7</td> <td>101</td> <td>[29]</td> <td>1,000</td> <td>294</td> <td>82.8</td>	energy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)	572.5	106.7	101	[29]	1,000	294		82.8										
Cumulative exergy Consumption (CExC) for chemical fertilizer applicationexergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg) 23.02 7.50 4.60 $[33,34]$ 23.02 7.50 4.60 $[33,34]$ exergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha) 690.8 187.5 $138.$ 690.8 105 55.2 $Calcula$ exergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t) 690.8 105 55.2 $Calcula$ ted in 	total energy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton)	780.37				1376.78														
exergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)23.027.504.60[33,34]23.027.504.60[33,34]exergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)690.8187.5138.690.810555.2Calcula ted in 	Cumulative exergy Consumption (CExC) for chemical fertilizer application																			
exergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)690.8187.5138.690.810555.2Calcula ted in this studyexergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)168.645.7633.7[29]575.687.546studytotal exergy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton)248.02709.12709.1210general information of transportationshipment capacity (ton)104surveyconsumption (L/km)0.287[29]0.2in thisdistance (km)2050studydiesel oil density (kg/L)0.832[35]0.832[35]energy utilization for transportationenergy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]57.5[35]total energy for transport (MJ)274.60478.4thisenergy utilization for transportation	exergy associated with chemical fertilizer (Mj/kg)	23.02	7.50	4.60	[33,34]	23.02	7.50	4.60	[33,34]											
exergy utilization associd with fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t) 168.6 45.76 33.7 $[29]$ 575.6 87.5 46 this studytotal exergy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton) 248.02 709.12 709.12 general information of transportationshipment capacity (ton) 10 4 survey in thisconsumption (L/km) 0.287 $[29]$ 0.2 in thisdistance (km) 20 50 studydisel oil density (kg/L) 0.832 $[35]$ 0.832 $[35]$ energy equivalen (Mj/kg) 57.5 $[35]$ 57.5 $[35]$ total energy for transport (MJ) 274.60 478.4 this studyexergy utilization for transportation	exergy utilization assoctd with fertilizer perHa (Mj/Ha)	690.8	187.5	138.		690.8	105	55.2	Calcula ted in											
fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)168.645.7633.7[29]575.687.546studytotal exergy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton)248.02709.12general information of transportationshipment capacity (ton)104survey in thisconsumption (L/km)0.287[29]0.2in thisdistance (km)2050studydiesel oil density (kg/L)0.832[35]0.832[35]energy utilization for transportationenergy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]57.5[35]total energy for transport (MJ)274.60478.4thisenergy utilization for transportation	exergy utilization assoctd with								this											
total exergy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton) 248.02 709.12 general information of transportationshipment capacity (ton)104survey in thisconsumption (L/km) 0.287 [29] 0.2 distance (km)2050studydiesel oil density (kg/L) 0.832 [35] 0.832 [35]energy equivalen (Mj/kg) 57.5 [35] 57.5 [35]total energy for transport (MJ) 274.60 478.4 thisenergy utilization for transportationexergy utilization for transport (MJ/t)27.46[29]119.6	fertilizer perton fresh leaf (Mj/t)	168.6	45.76	33.7	[29]	575.6	87.5	46	study											
general information of transportationshipment capacity (ton)104surveyconsumption (L/km)0.287[29]0.2in thisdistance (km)2050studydiesel oil density (kg/L)0.832[35]0.832[35]energy utilization for transportationenergy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]57.5[35]total energy for transport (MJ)274.60478.4thisenergy tor transport (MJ/t)27.46[29]119.6study	total exergy utilization per ton of fresh tea leaf (MJ/ton)	248.02				709.12														
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	general information of transportation																			
consumption (L/km) 0.287 [29] 0.2 in this distance (km) 20 50 study diesel oil density (kg/L) 0.832 [35] 0.832 [35] energy utilization for transportation energy equivalen (Mj/kg) 57.5 [35] 57.5 [35] total energy for transport (MJ) 274.60 478.4 this energy utilization for transport (MJ/t) 27.46 [29] 119.6 study	shipment capacity (ton)		10			4			survey											
distance (km)2050studydiesel oil density (kg/L)0.832[35]0.832[35]energy utilization for transportationenergy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]57.5[35]total energy for transport (MJ)274.60478.4thisenergy for transport (MJ/t)27.46[29]119.6studyexergy utilization for transportation	consumption (L/km)	0.287			[29]	0.2 50			in this											
diesel oil density (kg/L)0.832[35]0.832[35]energy utilization for transportationenergy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]57.5[35]total energy for transport (MJ)274.60478.4thisenergy for transport (MJ/t)27.46[29]119.6studyexergy utilization for transportation	distance (km)	20							study											
energy utilization for transportationenergy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]57.5[35]total energy for transport (MJ)274.60478.4thisenergy for transport (MJ/t)27.46[29]119.6studyexergy utilization for transportation	diesel oil density (kg/L)	0.832			[35]	0.832			[35]											
energy equivalen (Mj/kg)57.5[35]57.5[35]total energy for transport (MJ)274.60478.4thisenergy for transport (MJ/t)27.46[29]119.6studyexergy utilization for transportation	energy utilization for transportation																			
total energy for transport (MJ)274.60478.4thisenergy for transport (MJ/t)27.46[29]119.6studyexergy utilization for transportation	energy equivalen (Mj/kg)	57.5			[35]	57.5			[35]											
energy for transport (MJ/t)27.46[29]119.6studyexergy utilization for transportation	total energy for transport (MJ)	274.60				478.4			this											
exergy utilization for transportation	energy for transport (MJ/t)	27.46			[29]	119.6			study											
exergy equivalen (Mj/kg) 53.2 [26] 54.2 [26]	exergy equivalen (Mj/kg)	53.2			[26]	54.2			[26]											
total exergy for transport (MJ) 254.07 450.94 this	total exergy for transport (MJ)	254.07				450.94			this											
Exergy for transport (MJ/t) 25.41 [29] 112.74 study	Exergy for transport (MJ/t)	25.41			[29]	112.74			study											
SUMMARY																				
leaf(MJ) 807.83 1496.38 this	total energy per ton fresh tea leaf(MJ)	807.83 273.43				1496.38		this												
total exergy per ton fresh tea leaf (MJ)273.43[29]821.86	total exergy per ton fresh tea leaf (MJ)				[29]	821.86			study											

Table 1. estimation of energy and exergy utilization associated with the agriculture of tea leaves.

In this study, it was considered 40 MJ/kg energy is utilized for the production of the nitrogenous fertilizer, approaching to the theoretical minimum referring to previous report for the modern factory [31]. Tea plantation in Black Sea region have the same common background with Gamboeng West Java region in agricultural management which are, no irrigation. Tea plantation in Gamboeng had been introduced since the Dutch colonial in Indonesia, therefore energetic and exergetic requirements of the seed consumption are neglected. By using these values, the energy and exergy utilization for black sea fresh tea leaves was calculated to be 807.83 MJ/t of fresh leaf, 273.43MJ/t of fresh leaf, respectively. For the Gamboeng green tea, the energy and exergy utilization were 1496.38 MJ/t of fresh leaf and 821.86 MJ/t of fresh leaf, respectively.

4 Conclusion

In order to achieve Sustainable Development Goal, many research project had already conducted by Research Center for Chemistry, Indonesian Institute of Science. Small scale electric free furnace for producing activated carbon from peat as water purifier in Borneo [42]. This collaborative research with Hokkaido University was implementing partnership for the goals and conducted for achieving clean water and sanitation for all. The research for developing high quality banana flour production process complete with simple but reliable test kit for quality control was conducted to achieve not just zero hunger but also zero malnutrition since banana flour was very good for baby food [43,44]. In this work Cooperation of wood pellet with ambient-adapting controller was examined as a contribution from Research Center for Chemistry and Gamboeng tea for achieving affordable and clean energy and also an applicable climate action.

Tea plantation in Gamboeng had been introduced since the Dutch colonial in Indonesia, therefore energetic and exergetic requirements of the seed consumption are neglected. By using these values, the energy and exergy utilization for black sea fresh tea leaves was calculated to be 807.83 MJ/t of fresh leaf, 273.43MJ/t of fresh leaf, respectively. For the Gamboeng green tea, the energy and exergy utilization were 1496.38 MJ/t of fresh leaf and 821.86 MJ/t of fresh leaf, respectively.

Further analysis about the exergy analysis of the tea factory will be delivered in part.2. The analysis results deliver recommended improvement by installing better humidity detector and connected to the process control so the process can adapt the ambient change which the relative humidity can be varied from 65% and up to 92%. Reducing 0.01 kg LPG per kg of product means reducing 42.2 kg of LPG per batch which reduce cost Rp 477.000 per batch.

References

- 1. M. Giampietro, S. G. F. Bukkens, and D. Pimentel. Agric. Syst. 45, 19-41 (1994)
- 2. H. Dalsgard, and L. Munkoe. Int. J. Appl. Thermodyn. 3, 163–170. (2000)
- 3. S. Kytzia, M. Faist, and P. Baccini. J. Cleaner Prod. 12, 877–889 (2004)
- 4. M. Braungart, W. McDonough, and A. Bollinger. J. Cleaner Prod. 15, 1337–1348 (2007)
- 5. P.Roy, D. Nei, T. Orikasa, Q. Xu, H. Okadome, N. Nakamura, and T. Shiina. J. Food Eng. 90, 1–10 (2009)
- 6. D. Maes, and S. Van Passel. Environ. Impact Assess. Rev. 45, 19–29 (2014)
- 7. W. VanGool. Energy 5, 783–792. (1980)
- 8. R.L. Cornelissen, *Thermodynamics and Sustainable Development: The Use of Exergy Analysis and the Reduction of Irreversibility* (University of Twente, Enschede, 1997)

- 9. Z. Rant. Forschung im Ingenieurwesen 22, 1, S.36–37 (1956)
- 10. C.A. Berg. Energy 5, 733–742 (1980)
- 11. H.W. Hevert, and S.C. Hevert, Energy 5, 865-873 (1980)
- I. Dincer, and M.A. Rosen, *Chemical exergy*. In: Exergy, 2nd ed., Chap. 3, pp. 31–49. Ibrahim, D. and Marc, A.R., Eds. (Elsevier, London, 2013)
- 13. J. Szargut, Exergy analysis. In: Academia, 3, pp. 31-33. (2005)
- A. Zvolinschi, S. Kjelstrup, O. Bolland, and H.J. van der Kooi. J. Ind. Ecol. 11, 85–98 (2007)
- 15. M.A. Rosen, I. Dincer, and M. Kanoglu. Energy Policy 36, 128–137 (2008)
- 16. E.Sciubba. Termotehnica 1, 11–25 (2009)
- 17. G. Wall (1988). Energy 13, 197–208
- I. Dincer, and M.A. Rosen, *Applications of exergy in industry*. In: Exergy, 2nd ed., Chap. 5, pp. 75–82. Ibrahim, D. and Marc, A. R., Eds. (Elsevier, London, 2013)
- 19. M. Gong, and G. Wall. Exergy Int. J. 1, 217–233 (2001)
- 20. I. Dincer, and Y. Cengel. Entropy 3, 116–149 (2001)
- 21. I. Dincer. En. Policy 30, 137–149 (2002b)
- 22. F.K. Zisopoulos, F.J. Rossier-Miranda, A.J. van der Goot & R. M. Boom. Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition **57**, 1, 197-211 (2017)
- 23. A.H. Tambunan, L.P. Manalu, and K. Abdullah. Dry. Technol. 28, 1107–1112 (2010)
- A. Serpen, E. Pelvan, C. Alasalvar, B.A. Mogol, H.T. Yavuz, V. Gökmen, N. Özcan, B. Özçelik. J. Agric. Food. Chem. 60, 7682–7689 (2012)
- 25. C. Alasalvar, B. Topal, A. Serpen, M. Bahar, E. Pelvan, V. Gökmen, J. Agric. Food. Chem., 60, 6323–6332 (2012)
- 26. J. Szargut, D.R. Morris, F.R. Steward, *Exergy Analysis of Thermal, Chemical and Metallurgical Processes* (Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, New York, 1988)
- 27. L. Shao, G.Q. Chen, Ecol. Indic. 58, 392-401 (2015)
- 28. M.E. Harbowy, D.A. Balentine. Crit. Rev. Plant Sci. 16, 415–480 (1997)
- 29. E. Pelvan, M. Özilgen. Sustainable Production and Consumption 12, 59-77 (2017)
- 30. E. Sorgüven, M.Özilgen. Energy 32, 1956–1966 (2010)
- 31. A. Anundskas, *Technical improvements in mineral nitrogen fertilizer production*. In: Harvesting energy with fertilizers (European Fertilizer Man. Assoc., 2000)
- 32. Z.R. Helsel, *Energy and alternatives for fertilizer and pesticide use*. In: Fluck, R.C. (Ed.), Energy in Farm Production (Elsevier, New York, 1992)
- 33. H. Wittmus, L. Olson, D. Lane. J. Soil Water Conserv. 3, 72–75 (1975)
- 34. D. Pimentel. J. Agric. Environ. Ethics 4, 1–13 (1991)
- 35. N. Banaeian, M. Omid, H. Ahmadi. Energy Convers. Manage. 5, 1020–1025 (2011)
- **36.** T.H. Jayah, *Evaluation of a downdraft wood gasifier for tea manufacturing in Sri Lanka* (The University of Melbourne, 2002).
- 37. B.P. Baruah, P. Khare, P.G. Rao. Two Bud 59, 9-13 (2012)
- Asian Institute of Technology Small and Medium Scale Industries in Asia: Energy and Environment, Tea Sector.
- http://faculty.ait.ac.th/visu/public/uploads/books/SMSIAEETS.pdf (2002).
- 39. W.C.A. de Silva. Sri Lanka J. Tea Sci. 63, 59–69 (1994)
- 40. M. Özilgen, E. Sorgüven, Energy 36, 5954–5967 (2011)
- 41. B.E. Saraç. Int. J. Exergy 18, 323–339 (2015)